



# Title Here

1

FirstName MiddleName LastName <sup>1, 2, \*</sup>, FirstName MiddleName LastName<sup>2</sup>, and  
FirstName MiddleName LastName <sup>3</sup>

2

3

<sup>1</sup>Name of the Department, Organization, City, State, Zip Code

4

<sup>2</sup>Name of the Department, Organization, City, State, Zip Code

5

<sup>3</sup>Name of the Department, Organization, City, State, Zip Code

6

\*Corresponding author: [email@mail.com](mailto:email@mail.com); [email@mail.edu](mailto:email@mail.edu)

7

## Abstract

8

Abstract here

9

**Keywords:** 5-6 Keywords

10

**JEL Codes:** For economics articles only

11

<b>Highlights</b>	<b>12</b>
• Problem and solution	13
• Method	14
• Result 1 / Recommendations / Policy Implications	15
• Result 2 / Recommendations / Policy Implications	16
• Result 3 / Recommendations / Policy Implications / Conclusion	17

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>18</b>
• <code>\parencite[] {yang2024soil}</code> gives (Yang et al., 2024)	19
• <code>\enquote{\\$6 fee}</code> gives “\$6 fee”	20
• <code>\cite{yang2024soil}</code> gives Yang et al., 2024	21
• <code>\ref{tab:Demog}</code> gives 1	22
• <code>\ref{Figure: Push}</code> gives 1	23
• <code>\textcite{yang2024soil}</code> gives Yang et al. (2024)	24
• First format table according to your need and use <a href="#">table generator for latex</a> for efficient table building.	25 26




Figure 1: Caption of figure

<b>2. Theoretical Foundation</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>3. Method</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>3.1 Subsection</b>	<b>30</b>

The probability of ... was estimated as 31

$$P_{BW}(rr' = 1 | \mathbf{X}_{itk}) = \beta_{CAN} CAN_{itk} + \lambda_{NSFP} NSFP_{itk} + \lambda_{SFP} SFP_{itk} + \epsilon_{itk} \quad (1)$$

where the  $\beta$ s are ...,  $\lambda$ s are ..., and  $\epsilon_i$  is stochastic error term.

32

## 4. Results and Discussion

33

**Table 1:** Demographics

Demographic Variables	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Median	Min	Max
Agricultural Cropland (Acres)	134	196.47	513.36	80	0	5,500
Rangeland (Acres)	224	475.01	529.19	282.50	0	3,000
Forests (Acres)	200	209.56	417.49	100	0	3,500

**Notes:**

.....

**Table 2:** Profits from TAVs producing 1,360 25-lb cartoons of tomato (benchmark yield) at various solar densities, panel heights, array types, geographical regions, and REAP compensation.

Solar Proportion (Total Panels) →		0% Solar			25 % Solar (177 Panels)			50% Solar (413 Panels)			75% Solar (590 Panels)			100% Solar (885 Panels)				
Solar Panel Height (ft.) →	Regions ↓	Array ↓	4.6	6.4	8.2	4.6	6.4	8.2	4.6	6.4	8.2	4.6	6.4	8.2	4.6	6.4	8.2	
	North	Fixed	9619.38	13054.61	13053.07	13050.18	17633.19	17629.59	17622.85	22212.66	22207.00	22196.42	26792.08	26784.36	26769.93			
	Central	Tracking	9619.38	13737.71	13736.58	13735.44	19227.70	19225.06	19222.42	24718.03	24713.87	24709.72	30208.38	30202.72	30197.05			
	Black Belt	Tracking	9619.38	13829.87	13828.74	13827.60	19442.68	19440.04	19437.40	25055.89	25051.73	25047.58	30669.06	30663.40	30657.73			
	South	Tracking	9619.38	13886.75	13885.62	13884.48	19575.40	19572.76	19570.12	25264.45	25260.29	25256.14	30953.46	30947.80	30942.13			

Notes: Profits from TAVs producing 1,360 25-lb cartoons of tomato (benchmark yield) at various .....

**Table 3:** Caption

Site Attributes	Marginal(\$) (Std. Err.)	$p >  z $	95% CI Lower, Upper
ABC	-0.96 (0.81)	0.235	-2.53, 0.62
DEF	-0.71 (0.71)	0.317	-2.11, 0.69

Profits from TAVs producing 1,360 25-lb cartoons of tomato (benchmark yield) at various solar .....

**Table 4:** Profit from SBAVs at benchmark yield and price

Solar Proportion →			25% Solar (177 Panels)			50% Solar (413 Panels)			75% Solar (590 Panels)			100% Solar (885 Panels)		
Solar Panel Height (ft.) →			4.6	6.4	8.2	4.6	6.4	8.2	4.6	6.4	8.2	4.6	6.4	8.2
REAP ↓	Regions ↓	Array ↓	Profit from SBAV with given specifications ↓											
50%	North	Fixed	13,190	12,690	11,756	16,186	15,020	12,840	19,184	17,352	13,926	22,181	19,683	15,011
50%	South	Tracking	14,211	13,845	13,478	18,570	17,715	16,859	22,930	21,586	20,241	27,289	25,456	23,623
25%	Black Belt	Tracking	10,537	9,781	9,025	9,997	8,233	6,468	9,458	6,685	3,912	8,919	5,138	1,356
25%	South	Tracking	10,626	9,870	9,113	10,204	8,439	6,675	9,782	7,009	4,236	9,361	5,579	1,798

Note: Benchmark profit from SBAV producing 3,075 4-quart buckets of strawberries (benchmark yield) per acre. Source: Authors.

## References

- Yang, G., Wang, L., Gu, W., Gu, J., Fan, D., Liang, M., Liu, J., & Wang, Z. (2024). Soil ecological risk assessment of ten industrial areas in china based on the triad and vikor methods. *Ecological Indicators*, 166, 112270. 34
- 35
- 36
- 37

## 5. Publications in Table

<b>Citation</b>	<b>Dependent Variable</b>	<b>Independent Variables</b>	<b>Method</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
Row 1, Col 1	Row 1, Col 2	Row 1, Col 3	Row 1, Col 4	Row 1, Col 5
Row 2, Col 1	Row 2, Col 2	Row 2, Col 3	Row 2, Col 4	Row 2, Col 5
Row 3, Col 1	Row 3, Col 2	Row 3, Col 3	Row 3, Col 4	Row 3, Col 5

Appendix A:

Appendix B: